# JAMES' QUASI-REFLEXIVE SPACE IS NOT ISOMORPHIC TO ANY SUBSPACE OF ITS DUAL

### BY

## ALFRED ANDREW

#### ABSTRACT

We prove that each non-reflexive subspace of  $J^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $J^*$  and complemented in  $J^*$ . Consequences are that J is not isomorphic to any subspace of  $J^*$ , and that every reflexive subspace of J is contained in a complemented reflexive subspace of J.

1. In section 2 of this paper we present the solution to a conjecture of James [7]. We prove that there is no linear isomorphism of James' quasi-reflexive Banach space into its dual. This is accomplished by proving that each non-reflexive subspace of  $J^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $J^*$  and complemented in  $J^*$ , and then using James' result [7] that  $J^*$  is not isomorphic to any subspace of J. This result implies the formally stronger statement, conjectured in Casazza [2], that J and  $J^*$  are incomparable to the extent that if  $X \subset J$  and  $Y \subset J^*$  are non-reflexive, then X and Y are not isomorphic. Since J and  $J^*$  are quasi-reflexive of order one, their non-reflexive subspaces are also quasi-reflexive of order one [4]. In [1] we proved that every non-reflexive subspace of J contains the isomorphic image of J.

In section 3 we apply the main result of section 2 to the study of reflexive subspaces of J and  $J^*$ . We show that any reflexive subspace of J (or  $J^*$ ) is contained in a complemented reflexive subspace of J ( $J^*$ ).

We wish to thank Professor Casazza for bringing these questions to our attention, Professor Pelczynski for helpful discussions, and the referee for simplifying some of our arguments.

James' space J was introduced in [5], [6], and may be defined as the Banach space of all sequences of real numbers  $(a_i)$  such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}a_i=0,\qquad\text{and}\qquad$$

Received May 7, 1980 and in revised form October 29, 1980

Vol. 34, 1981

(1) 
$$||(a_i)|| = \sup_{p_1 < \cdots < p_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_{p_i} - a_{p_{i+1}}|^2 + |a_{p_n} - a_{p_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

With this norm, J is isometric to its second conjugate space, which is the Banach space of all sequences of reals for which the squared-variation norm (1) is finite. Since finiteness of the norm implies  $\lim a_i$  exists, any  $x \in J^{**}$  may be written as  $x = x_0 + a 1$ , where  $x_0 \in J$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and 1 denotes the sequence  $(1, 1, 1, \dots) \in J^{**}$ .

Our notation is standard in Banach space theory, as may be found in [8]. If  $(z_n)$  is a sequence in a Banach space Z, we denote the closed linear span of  $(z_n)$  by  $[(z_n)]$ . A sequence  $(z_n)$  is termed *semi-normalized* if there is a constant M > 0 such that  $M^{-1} \leq ||z_n|| \leq M$  for all n. Schauder bases  $(y_n)$  and  $(z_n)$  are said to be *equivalent* if there is a constant M such that for all scalar sequences  $(a_n)$ ,

$$M^{-1} \| \Sigma a_n y_n \| \leq \| \Sigma a_n z_n \| \leq M \| \Sigma a_n y_n \|.$$

We reserve the notation  $(e_n)$  for the unit vector basis of J, and  $(e_n^*)$  for the sequence of biorthogonal functionals. It is known that  $(e_n^*)$  is a basis for  $J^*$  and that the sequence  $(x_n)$  defined by  $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$  is a boundedly complete basis for J, with  $x_n^* = e_n^* - e_{n+1}^*$  [5], [8].

Although most computations will be done in J, we shall use the following proposition concerning the norm in  $J^*$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $x^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e^*_i \in J^*$ . Then (a) If  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*, then  $||x^*|| = \sum |a_i|$ , (b)  $||x^*|| \ge 1/\sqrt{2} [\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2]^{1/2}$ .

**PROOF.** Statement (a) appears in [8]. We certainly have  $||x^*|| \leq \Sigma |a_i|$ , and since  $||1||_{J^{**}} = 1$ ,  $\Sigma |a_i| = \langle 1, x^* \rangle \leq ||x^*||$ .

To prove (b), notice that (1) and the inequality  $(x + y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)$  imply that for all n,

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}e_{i}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right]^{1/2}.$$

Thus for all n

$$\sqrt{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right]^{1/2} \|x^{*}\| \ge \left|\left\langle x^{*}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}e_{i}\right\rangle\right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2},$$

so that

$$\|x^*\| \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

2. In this section we prove

THEOREM 2. If X is a non-reflexive subspace of  $J^*$ , then X contains a subspace isomorphic to  $J^*$  and complemented in  $J^*$ .

The main step in the proof of Theorem 2 is to show that if  $(z_n)$  is a sequence in  $J^*$  converging to zero in the weak\* topology but not in the weak topology, then  $(z_n)$  has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $J^*$ . To this end we present several propositions concerning block basic sequences of  $(e_n^*)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $(z_n)$  be a block basic sequence in  $J^*$  with  $z_n = \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i e_i^*$ , and suppose  $\sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i = K > 0$ . Then for any scalar sequence  $(b_n)$ ,

(a) 
$$\|\Sigma b_n z_n\| \ge K \|\Sigma b_n e_n^*\|$$

(b) If  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*, then

$$\|\Sigma b_n z_n\| \leq \sqrt{2} K \|\Sigma b_n e_n^*\|$$

**PROOF.** Let  $x = \sum c_i e_i \in J$ , and observe that  $||x|| = ||\sum_k c_k \sum_{p_k+1}^{p_{k+1}} e_i||$ . Then

$$\left\langle \sum_{k} b_{k} z_{k}, \sum_{k} c_{k} \sum_{p_{k}+1}^{p_{k}+1} e_{i} \right\rangle = \sum_{k} b_{k} c_{k} \sum_{p_{k}+1}^{p_{k}+1} a_{i}$$
$$= K \sum_{k} b_{k} c_{k}$$
$$= K \langle \Sigma b_{k} e_{k}^{*}, \Sigma c_{k} e_{k} \rangle,$$

so that (a) follows by taking the supremum over  $x \in J$ , ||x|| = 1.

Now, assuming  $a_i \ge 0$  for all *i*, define  $\bar{c}_n = (1/K) \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i c_i$  for each *n*. It follows from (1) that

$$\left\|\sum \bar{c}_n\left(\sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}}e_i\right)\right\| \leq \sqrt{2} \left\|\sum \left(\sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}}c_ie_i\right)\right\|,$$

and hence

$$|\langle \Sigma b_n z_n, \Sigma c_i e_i \rangle| = \left| \sum_k b_k \sum_{p_k+1}^{p_{k+1}} a_n c_n \right|$$
$$= K |\Sigma b_n \bar{c}_n|$$
$$= K |\langle \Sigma b_n e_n^*, \Sigma \bar{c}_k e_k \rangle|$$
$$\leq K ||\Sigma b_n e_n^*|| ||\Sigma \bar{c}_k e_k||$$
$$\leq \sqrt{2} K ||\Sigma b_n e_n^*|| ||\Sigma c_i e_i||.$$

Now (b) follows by taking the supremum over  $x \in J$ , ||x|| = 1.

Recall that a basis is said to be *spreading* if it is equivalent to each of its subsequences. An immediate corollary to Proposition 3 is

COROLLARY 4. The unit vector basis  $(e_n^*)$  for  $J^*$  is spreading.

We now consider block basic sequences equivalent to the unit vectors in  $l_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** Let  $y_i = \sum_{p_i+1}^{p_i+1} a_i e_i^*$  be a semi-normalized block basic sequence in  $J^*$ , and suppose  $\sum_{i=p_i+1}^{p_{i+1}} a_i = 0$  for all j. Then  $(y_i)$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $l_2$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $(e_n^*)$  is spreading, we may assume that  $a_{p_n} = 0$  for all *n*. Let  $X = [(\sum_{p_j+1}^{p_{j+1}} e_i)] \subset J$ . Then  $y_j \in X^{\perp}$  for all *j*. Now, X is complemented in J [3] by the projection P, where

$$P(\Sigma c_i e_i) = \sum_n c_{p_{n+1}} \left( \sum_{p_{n+1}}^{p_{n+1}} e_i \right),$$

and has complement

$$(I-P)J = [\{e_j : j \neq p_n \ \forall n\}] \approx (\Sigma \bigoplus J_{k(n)})_{l_2},$$

where  $J_{k(n)}$  is the span of the first k(n) unit vectors in J, and is here regarded as  $J_{k(n)} = [(e_{p_n+1}, \dots, e_{p_{n+1}-1})]$ . Letting  $Q_n$  denote the natural projection of J onto  $J_{k(n)}$ , we see that  $Q_n^*(I - P^*)$  is a projection of  $J^*$  (and of  $X^{\perp} \approx (\Sigma \bigoplus J_{k(n)}^*)_{l_2}$ ) onto  $J_{k(n)}^*$ . Since  $a_{p_n} = 0$  for all n,  $Q_n^*(I - P^*)y_n = y_n$ , so that  $y_n \in J_{k(n)}^*$  for all n. Thus, for any scalar sequence  $(b_n)$ ,  $\|\Sigma b_n y_n\| = [\Sigma |b_n|^2 \|y_n\|^2]^{1/2}$ , where the norms are computed in  $(\Sigma \bigoplus J_{k(n)})_{l_2}^*$ . Computations using (1) and theorem 1 of [3] show that for any  $x^* \in (I - P^*)J^*$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \| \mathbf{x}^* \|_{(\Sigma \oplus J_{k(n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \mathbf{x}^* \|_{J^*} \leq 2 \| \mathbf{x}^* \|_{(\Sigma \oplus J_{k(n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Thus, since  $(y_n)$  is assumed to be semi-normalized,  $(y_n)$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis for  $l_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** Let  $w_i = \sum_{p_i+1}^{p_i+1} a_i e_i^*$  be a semi-normalized block basic sequence in  $J^*$ , and suppose  $\sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i = K > 0$  for all n. Then  $(w_i)$  is equivalent to  $(e_i^*)$ , and  $\{(w_i)\}$  is complemented in  $J^*$ .

**PROOF.** It follows from Proposition 3 that  $\|\Sigma b_n e_n^*\| \leq (1/K) \|\Sigma b_n w_n\|$  for all scalar sequences  $(b_n)$ .

#### A. ANDREW

To establish the other inequality, we must show that the convergence of a series  $\sum b_n e_n^*$  implies the convergence of the series  $\sum b_n w_n$ . We write

$$w_n = \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i e^* = \frac{K}{p_{n+1} - p_n} \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} e^* + \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} \left( a_i - \frac{K}{p_{n+1} - p_n} \right) e^*$$
  
=  $z_n + y_n$ .

By Proposition 1 we see that  $||z_n|| = 1$  for all *n*, and that there exists a constant *M* such that  $||y_n|| \le M$  for all *n*. If now,  $\sum b_n e_n^*$  converges, it follows from Proposition 3 that  $\sum b_n z_n$  converges, and from Propositions 1 and 5 that  $\sum b_n y_n$  converges. Hence  $\sum b_n w_n$  is convergent. Thus  $(w_n)$  is equivalent to  $(e_n^*)$ .

Using the equivalence of  $(w_n)$  and  $(e_n^*)$ , it follows that the averaging projection  $Q: J \rightarrow J$  defined by

$$Q(\sum c_n e_n) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_n \left( \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i c_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} e_i \right)$$

is bounded. A simple calculation shows that  $P = Q^*$  is given by

$$Q^*(\Sigma d_n e_n^*) = \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} d_j\right) w_n,$$

and hence  $[(w_n)]$  is complemented by P.

We are now prepared for the

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $Y \subset J^*$  be non-reflexive. Then there exists a sequence of norm one vectors  $(w'_n) \subset Y$  having no limit in the weak topology on  $J^*$ . Since the ball of  $J^*$  is  $w^*$  compact, we may assume, by passing to a subsequence, that  $(w'_n)$  has a weak<sup>\*</sup> limit  $w \in J^*$ .

We consider the first case when  $w \in Y$ , and in this case, we may assume w = 0. Since  $w'_n \not\rightarrow 0$  weakly, there exists  $x \in J^{**}$  such that  $\langle x, w'_n \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$ . Since  $x = x_0 + \lambda 1$  for some  $x_0 \in J$  and some scalar  $\lambda$ , it follows from the weak\* convergence of  $w'_n$  to zero that  $\lambda \neq 0$  and that  $\langle 1, w'_n \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$ . Using the weak\* convergence of  $(w'_n)$  to zero, the fact that  $\langle 1, w'_n \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$ , standard perturbation arguments, and by passing to a subsequence, there exists a block basic sequence  $(w_n)$ ,  $w_n = \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i e^*$  such that  $\langle 1, w_n \rangle = \sum_{p_n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_i = K > 0$ , and such that  $\sum ||w_n - w'_n||$  is small enough so that  $[(w'_n)]$  is equivalent to  $[(w_n)]$  and complemented in  $J^*$ . In this case  $[(w'_n)]$  is the desired subspace, since  $(w_n)$  is equivalent to  $(e^*_n)$  by Proposition 8.

We now consider the case when Y contains no sequence which is not weakly convergent yet does converge to zero in the weak\* topology on  $J^*$ . Then Y contains a non-weakly-convergent sequence of norm one vectors  $(w_n)$  with weak\* limit  $w \notin Y$ . By the preceding arguments, there exists a sequence  $(z_n) \in Y \bigoplus [w]$  such that  $z_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ ,  $z_n \neq 0$  weakly,  $(z_n)$  is equivalent to  $(e_n^*)$ , and  $[(z_n)]$  is complemented in  $J^*$ . We may write  $z_n = y_n + a_n w$  with  $y_n \in Y$  and  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since Y contains no sequence converging weak\* to zero but failing to converge weakly to zero, we may assume  $(a_n)$  has a nonzero cluster point a. By perturbing and passing to a subsequence, we may assume  $z_n = y_n + ay$ . Now  $z_n - z_{n+1} = y_n - y_{n+1} \in Y$ , and  $(z_n - z_{n+1})$  is equivalent to  $(e_n^* - e_{n+1}^*)$ . But the sequence  $(e_n^* - e_{n+1}^*)$  is biorthogonal to the boundedly complete basis  $(x_n)$  for J, so  $[(e_n^* - e_{n+1}^*)]^* \approx J$ . Since the predual of J is isomorphic to  $J^*$  [4], [7], it follows that  $[(y_n - y_{n+1})] \approx J^*$ , and that Y contains an isomorph of J\*. Moreover  $[(y_n - y_{n+1})]$  is of codimension one in  $[(z_n)]$  and hence is complemented in  $[(z_n)]$ . Since  $[(z_n)]$  is complemented in J\*, it follows that  $[(y_n - y_{n+1})]$  is complemented in J\*.

REMARK. There do exist non-reflexive subspaces of  $J^*$  for which the weak<sup>\*</sup> convergence of a sequence to zero implies weak convergence to zero. An example is  $[(e_n^* - e_{n+1}^*)]$ .

THEOREM 7. There is no linear isomorphism from J into  $J^*$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose to the contrary that  $T: J \to J^*$  is an isomorphism onto its range. Then by Theorem 2, TJ contains a subspace Y isomorphic to  $J^*$ . But then, denoting the isomorphism from  $J^*$  to Y by S,  $T|_Y^{-1}S$  is an isomorphism from  $J^*$  into J, contradicting a result of James [7].

These results also imply the following formally stronger statement of noncomparability of J and  $J^*$ .

COROLLARY 8. If  $X \subset J$  and  $Y \subset J^*$  are non-reflexive, then X and Y are not isomorphic.

**PROOF.** If there exists a non-reflexive space  $Y \subset J^*$  isomorphic to a subspace of J, then by the above arguments,  $J^*$  embeds in J, a contradiction.

3. In this section we use the results of section 2 and [1] to obtain information concerning reflexive subspaces of J and  $J^*$ .

THEOREM 9. If  $X \subset J$  ( $X \subset J^*$ ) is reflexive, then there exists a reflexive space  $R \subset J$  ( $J^*$ ) such that R is complemented in J ( $J^*$ ) and  $X \subset R$ .

**PROOF.** Let Z denote the predual of J. Since X is reflexive, J/X is nonreflexive, and hence  $(J/X)^* = X^{\perp}$  is non-reflexive. Now  $X_{\perp} \subset Z$  is of codimension at most one in  $X^{\perp}$  and is hence nonreflexive. Since Z is isomorphic to  $J^*$ , there exists, by Theorem 2, a subspace  $Y \subset X_{\perp}$  with Y isomorphic to  $J^*$ 

and complemented in Z by a projection P. Then X is contained in the complemented reflexive space ker  $P^*$ .

The proof of the case  $X \subset J^*$  is the same, using theorem 2.1 of [1] in place of Theorem 2.

REMARK. Similar arguments show that if X is a reflexive subspace of J (or of  $J^*$ ), then the quotient space J/X (or  $J^*/X$ ) contains a complemented isomorph of J ( $J^*$ ).

COROLLARY 10. If  $X \subset J$  is reflexive, then X is isomorphic to a subspace of  $(\Sigma \bigoplus J_n)_{l_2}$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 9, X is contained in a complemented reflexive subspace R. By a result of Casazza [2], complemented reflexive subspaces of J embed isomorphically in  $(\Sigma \bigoplus J_n)_{l_2}$ .

## References

1. A. D. Andrew, Spreading basic sequences and subspaces of J, Math. Scand., to appear.

2. P. G. Casazza, James' quasi-reflexive space is primary, Israel J. Math. 26 (1977), 294-305.

3. P. G. Casazza, B. L. Lin and R. H. Lohman, On James' quasi-reflexive Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1977), 265-271.

4. P. Civin and B. Yood, Quasi-reflexive spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 906-911.

5. R. C. James, Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces, Ann. Math. 52 (1951), 518-527.

6. R. C. James, A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate space, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 37 (1951), 174–177.

7. R. C. James, Banach spaces quasi-reflexive of order one, Studia Math. 60 (1977), 157-177.

8. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ATLANTA, GA 30332 USA